

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED
08 JUN 16 2008
Clerk's Office
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Burns
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: BY: 6/9/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv441 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Arnell DEPUTY
CASE TITLE: Arnell v. McAdam
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Plaintiff's Declaration in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER:</u>

Hearing on this motion is set for June 16, 2008. Under Civil/Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), opposition may be filed no later than June 2, 2008. (Opposition already on file as docket item #14.)

The submitted pleading consists of additional briefing, and is not a declaration.

Date forwarded: 6/9/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

Dated: 6-10-08
cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: LARRY ALAN BURNS
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
By: Larry A. Burns

REJECTED

LANTZ ARNELL, MD

P.O. BOX 181583 Coronado, CA 92178

Tel. (619) 435-4064

United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANTZ ARNELL, MD

Case # '08 - CV- 00441 - LANTZ


vs.

**Notice of Plaintiff's Responsive
Declaration in Opposition to
Judge McAdam's Motion to
Dismiss.**

**Judge W. McAdam
Respondent**

**Date: June 16, 2008
Time: 11:15 a.m.
Room: 9
Judge: Honorable William Burns**

To Ms. Cheryl L Brierton, attorney for Judge William McAdam (herein after
(defendant): PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 16, 2008 at 11:15 a.m., in
courtroom 9 on the 4th floor of the United States District Court for the Southern



CR